

May 15/85-

2  
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE  
SCOTLAND



Dear Prof. Huxley.

The question of Cupressus  
Britanica has often puzzled  
me, & I am one of those who  
<sup>are</sup> thought it possible that it had  
come originally from the Azores,  
was taken thence by Gou, &  
brought back to Portugal!

However never be arrived the  
species critically. till now.

Now I have done so with  
Prof. Steud. & judging  
from Haberm. specimens, it  
is impossible to distinguish it  
from C. torulosa! a variety of the

Written Humble! - If this is so  
it was no doubt introduced into  
you from the Humble.

There is certainly no <sup>native</sup> C. propens  
in the Decan Peninsula, & the  
seeds from Potocannid are no  
doubt from cultivated plants.  
- from the Potocannid <sup>Potocannid</sup> Gardens

Mr. L. Lurtenice, our  
curator succeed at New, but  
they do in the West of England, & in  
Ireland, & I shall send for living  
specimens & compare them -  
& if I find any difference I  
will let you know.

Wander, "Forest Fls." 2 555; states

That C. glauca, Lam. Syn. C. puritana  
Willd., is commonly cultivated  
Gardens of Water India

Dakka. "Kamboy, Pear" p. 83. says that

C. verticillata is common in Jardens,  
Africa & Europe, but not below  
the Ghats. Also he says of C.

tortuosa "This tree from habit &

"form of fruit (?) may be reckoned

"a distinct species" - "has been

"seen <sup>at Dapoorie</sup> for seeds received from

"Saharunpore & x & it appears to

"succeed better in the Decan than

"the Madagascarian tree" -

I can find no difference whatever  
in the <sup>seeds</sup> fruit, as boxes of the same  
specimens of puritana & tortuosa -

- living specimens may show a  
difference of habit however.

On the other hand, when we  
remember how variable are

C. temperata is - we may  
refer C. tenuis to very too.

Byington

B. Hooker